

| | | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/697,302 | WILLIAMS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Michael C. Miggins | 1772 | |

All Participants:

(1) Michael C. Miggins.

(2) Jim Lydon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
 33, 35-36

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Method claims amended to incorporate the allowed product limitations from the parent case

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)